

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
09/315,734		05/20/1999	RAMARATHNAM VENKATESAN	MS-43/1(1166	6879	_
22801	22801 7590 06/30/2004			EXAMINER		_
LEE & HA		-·	LIPMAN, JACOB			
421 W RIV SPOKANE		AVENUE SUITE :	500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
SI OICAIVE,	, , , , ,			2134		_

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C 0					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/315,734	VENKATESAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jacob Lipman	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprehension for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 April 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>176-191</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-175</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>176-191</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>1-175</u> is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 May 1999 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/315,734 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Specification

1. The corrected specification was received on 4/22/2004. These corrections are acceptable.

### Claim Objections

Claim 153 is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 35 line
 "s6ftware" should read "software". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-175 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The enforcer is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). As discussed in the interview, without the enforcer, different keys would not work on identical watermarks.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-175 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1-175 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between

Application/Control Number: 09/315,734

Art Unit: 2134

the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: The enforcer. Claim 1 recites the limitation "reads a specific one of a plurality of identical watermarks embedded in the software object with different watermark keys" (lines 10-11). As discussed in the interview, the decryption of identical watermarks with different keys would be impossible if not for the enforcer. The enforcer is an essential element in the invention, and it needs to be included in the claims.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 176-191 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY CATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/315,734

Art Unit: 2134

Page 4

JL